nklin Street, Suite 800 d, CA 94612 one: (510) 208-4422 ile: (415) 520-9407	ai ino. 11 <i>5222</i>
E F. LEVY, State Bar No. 104 ON R. VINICK, State Bar No. V NUGENT, State Bar. No. 25 ON LEVY, LLP and Avenue, Suite 1300 d, CA 94612 one: (510) 318-7700	129914
sharon@dicksonlevy.com eys for Plaintiffs (S J. HERRERA, State Bar Notorney BETH SALVESON, State Bar abor Attorney HAN ROLNICK, State Bar Notity Attorney Earket Street, 5th Floor Encisco, California 94102-5408 Encis	r No. 83788 No. 151814
UNITED	STATES DISTRICT COURT N DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
ffs, AND COUNTY OF SAN CISCO,	Case No.: 3:07-cv-01016 PJH JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER AMENDING ORDER OF SEPTEMBER 7, 2011 REGARDING NOTICE AND DISSEMINATION OF NOTICE TO CLASS MEMBERS
	LAW OFFICES Inklin Street, Suite 800 d, CA 94612 one: (510) 208-4422 ille: (415) 520-9407 SheaLaw@aol.com E.F. LEVY, State Bar No. 104 ON R. VINICK, State Bar. No. 25 ON LEVY, LLP and Avenue, Suite 1300 d, CA 94612 one: (510) 318-7700 ille: (510) 318-7701 sharon@dicksonlevy.com bys for Plaintiffs IS J. HERRERA, State Bar No. itorney BETH SALVESON, State Bar No. City Attorney THAN ROLNICK, State Bar No. City Attorney Iarket Street, 5th Floor Incisco, California 94102-5408 one: (415) 554-3845 ille: (415) 554-4248 Tys for Defendant d County of San Francisco UNITED

///

///

///

///

Plaintiffs JAMES WILSON and other similarly situated persons, through their attorneys, and Defendant CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, through its attorney, hereby submit the Parties' Joint Stipulation and Proposed Order Amending Order of September 7, 2011 Regarding Notice and Dissemination of Notice to Class Members:

- 1. On September 7, 2011, the Court preliminarily approved a settlement in the above-referenced case. Pursuant to that approval, Simpluris sent notices to all class members, informing them of the terms of the settlement, as well as the procedures for participating in the settlement. Furthermore, under that approval, all claims must be submitted by January 17, 2012.
- 2. To date, approximately 66% of the class members have submitted claim forms. However, under the proposed settlement, the Defendant City and County of San Francisco have the right to withdraw from the settlement if all of the class members do not choose to participate, although that right may also be waived by Defendant.
- 3. In an effort to increase participation in the settlement, counsel for the plaintiffs would like to contact the class members who have not responded to the notice by sending additional written notices and/or making phone calls to the number that they provided to class counsel.
- 4. Counsel for Defendant City and County of San Francisco have no objection to counsel for plaintiffs contacting the class members.